

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,969	10/29/2003	Hiroaki Ohkubo	NECF 20.702	7995
26304	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	IUCHIN ROSENMAN LI	FARAHANI, DANA		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2891	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/695,969	OHKUBO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this committee is	Dana Farahani	2891			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	<u>ıgust 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/12/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				

Application/Control Number: 10/695,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

ď,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kasperkovitz (US Patent 4,011,580), newly cited.

As for claims 1 and 11, Kasperkovitz discloses in figure 2, a semiconductor integrated circuit comprising:

a silicon substrate 3;

a single silicon epitaxial layer 2 that touches the surface of said silicon substrate;

first and second circuit sections R1 and R2 formed in said silicon epitaxial layer; and

a device isolation region 6 projecting from said silicon substrate up to a surface of each of said first and second circuit sections between said first and second circuit sections. Although, Kasperkovitz does not explicitly disclose the epitaxial layer has a lower resistivity than the substrate it is likely that this is the case because of the various heavy dopings of the epitaxial layer. Alternatively, noting that a variety of devices can be formed in the epitaxial layer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the

doping of the epitaxial layer higher than the substrate, which make it less resistive, so one of

ordinary skill in the art can choose how much resistance needed for the device or devices formed in the epitaxial layer.

As for claim 11, a resistor considered both a digital and an analog circuit. Note that the resistors are to be connected to other components to form circuits (see col. 3, lines 25 and 26).

3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasperkovitz.

Kasperkovitz substantially discloses the limitations in the claims, as discussed above, except for expressly disclosing the resistivity of the silicon substrate is between 20/50 and 100 times the resistivity of the silicon epitaxial layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the necessary adjustments in regard to the resistivity of those layers for the same reason given above (see col. 3, lines 46-47; and col. 4, lines 34-36).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant'(s) arguments with respect to the previously rejected claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 2891

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DF

R WILLIAM BAUMEISTER

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TROUNOLOGY CENTUR 2800